

WHITE PAPER

Beyond Variable Frequency Drives-VFDs:

Why Optimizing the Entire Electrical System Matters

Adil Khan

Colorado, USA

Abstract

Variable frequency drives (VFDs) are widely applied to reduce motor speed, mechanical stress, and energy consumption under variable-load conditions. Yet a VFD is fundamentally a component-level control device, and its nonlinear power-conversion process can alter the electrical environment through harmonic currents, rapid voltage transitions, common-mode effects, and additional thermal stress if these conditions are not properly managed. This article distinguishes motor control from system-wide electrical optimization and presents the Maximum Power Transfer System (MPTS) as a complementary power-management approach focused on the quality, stability, and efficiency of power supplied across the electrical network. The central argument is that sustainable energy performance requires both load control and system-level power-quality management. A coordinated strategy can reduce avoidable losses, support reliability, improve asset utilization, and provide a more complete basis for measurement and verification than equipment efficiency alone.

Keywords—variable frequency drive, VFD, power quality, harmonics, power factor, electrical losses, system efficiency, MPTS, asset reliability, measurement and verification

1. Introduction: From Motor Control to System Optimization

Variable frequency drives have become essential tools for controlling motor speed, matching process output to actual demand, and reducing energy use in pumps, fans, compressors, conveyors, and other variable-torque or variable-load applications. Properly selected and applied, VFDs can also reduce mechanical shock during starting and improve process flexibility.

However, the installation of a VFD changes more than motor speed. The drive rectifies incoming alternating current, processes power through a direct-current link, and synthesizes a variable-frequency output. This power-electronic conversion can affect current waveform quality, voltage stress at the motor terminals, electromagnetic compatibility, and the loading of upstream electrical equipment. IEEE 519 addresses harmonic distortion at the point of common coupling, while NEMA motor standards provide application guidance for motors operated from adjustable-frequency power sources.

“Are we only controlling motors, or are we optimizing the entire electrical system?”

The question is not whether VFDs are beneficial. They are. The more important question is whether motor control alone is sufficient to achieve the desired outcomes for energy, reliability, resiliency, and lifecycle cost.

2. What VFDs Accomplish

A VFD changes motor speed by varying the frequency and voltage supplied to the motor. In applications where process demand changes over time, this capability can produce substantial savings by avoiding throttling, bypassing, or other inefficient mechanical control methods. The principal benefits include:

Speed control that matches motor output to process requirements.

Reduced mechanical stress during acceleration, deceleration, and starting.

Energy savings through load reduction, particularly in centrifugal pump and fan applications.

Improved process control, automation, and operational flexibility.

These benefits are real, but they are concentrated at the driven-equipment level. They do not automatically resolve electrical conditions elsewhere in the facility.

3. What VFDs Do Not Automatically Solve

A VFD should not be treated as a complete power-quality or electrical-system optimization solution. Depending on drive topology, source impedance, cable length, switching frequency, grounding, filtering, motor insulation system, and surrounding loads, a VFD installation may require additional engineering controls.

System-wide harmonic mitigation. Standard six-pulse drives typically draw nonlinear current and may increase current distortion upstream.

Protection from steep-front voltage waveforms and high dv/dt at motor terminals, particularly where motor leads are long.

Correction of facility-wide displacement and distortion power factor.

Reduction of electrical losses across transformers, feeders, switchgear, and other connected assets.

Protection of unrelated equipment from voltage variation, transients, imbalance, or resonance conditions.

Therefore, VFDs are best understood as component-level control solutions. Their contribution to energy performance should be evaluated together with the electrical consequences they create or encounter within the wider network.

4. Positioning MPTS as a Complementary System-Level Approach

The Maximum Power Transfer System (MPTS) is positioned differently. Rather than controlling the operating speed of an individual motor, MPTS is intended to measure, manage, and improve the electrical conditions supplying multiple loads across a facility or distribution segment. Its system-level

focus includes power factor, reactive power, current, harmonic conditions, voltage quality, and real-time before-and-after performance measurement.

With a VFD, we change how the motor runs. With MPTS, we improve what the motor and the wider electrical system are being fed.

This distinction makes the two approaches complementary rather than mutually exclusive. A VFD can reduce the required mechanical output of a motor, while a system-level power-management platform can address the quality and efficiency of the electrical environment in which the VFD, motor, transformer, and distribution system operate.

Table 1. Functional comparison of VFD and MPTS approaches

Aspect	VFD	MPTS
Primary function	Motor speed and process control	Electrical-system measurement and power optimization
Energy mechanism	Load reduction	Reduction of avoidable system losses and improved power utilization
Mechanical stress	Generally reduced	Indirectly supported through improved electrical conditions
Electrical stress	Can increase if harmonics, dv/dt, grounding, or filtering are unmanaged	Intended to reduce adverse electrical conditions
Harmonics	May be introduced by nonlinear input current	Monitored and mitigated as part of system management
Power factor	Drive input displacement power factor may be high, but total power factor can be affected by distortion	Managed at the system level, including reactive-power reduction
Equipment life	Dependent on proper application and mitigation	Supported by lower heat, current, and electrical stress where verified
Scope	Component-level	System-level

5. Power Quality as the Electrical “Supply Chain”

If the motor is viewed as the heart of an electromechanical process, power quality is analogous to the blood supply. The VFD regulates the workload and operating speed; the electrical network determines the quality of energy delivered to the drive and motor.

Poor electrical conditions can increase RMS current, conductor and transformer heating, nuisance trips, insulation stress, and interference with sensitive equipment. Harmonic currents also contribute to additional losses in conductors and magnetic equipment. These effects do not mean that every VFD installation will experience failure or unacceptable distortion. They do mean that system impedance, loading, waveform distortion, voltage conditions, and mitigation measures must be assessed rather than assumed.

6. Connecting Power Quality to Reliability and Equipment Failures

Many equipment failures attributed to “bad motors” are influenced by the environment in which the motor operates. Elevated temperature, voltage imbalance, repeated transients, harmonic heating, bearing currents, inadequate grounding, and steep-front voltage waveforms can accelerate insulation aging and component degradation. Similarly, transformers, cables, capacitors, and switchgear can experience additional thermal and dielectric stress when electrical conditions are poor.

A system-level strategy seeks to identify and reduce these stresses before they become reliability events. The practical objective is not merely cleaner waveforms; it is lower heat, lower avoidable current, reduced losses, improved asset loading, and a more stable operating environment.

7. Quantifiable Value for Facility Leadership

For executives, facility managers, and energy professionals, the value of system optimization should be expressed in measurable operational and financial terms. Relevant performance indicators include:

Reduced I^2R losses in feeders, transformers, and distribution equipment.

Reduced current and heat, which may lower electrical and cooling-related losses.

Reduced reactive power and, where applicable, utility penalties or avoidable kVA demand.

Improved usable capacity of transformers, feeders, generators, and switchgear.

Reduced exposure to premature failures and unplanned downtime.

Extended asset life where lower thermal and electrical stress is demonstrated.

Improved measurement and verification through synchronized before-and-after electrical data.

These outcomes must be verified at the specific facility. Savings depend on the existing load profile, tariff structure, equipment condition, source impedance, operating hours, and baseline power-quality conditions. Claims should therefore be supported by interval data, utility-bill analysis, and a measurement and verification plan appropriate to the project boundary.

8. An Integrated Strategy: Control the Load and Optimize the Supply

The strongest energy strategy is not “VFD versus MPTS.” It is the coordinated use of technologies that address different layers of the system. VFDs should be applied where variable-speed control creates process and energy benefits. Power-quality and system-optimization measures should then address conditions that remain at the facility level or arise from the aggregate operation of nonlinear loads.

A practical implementation sequence includes:

Establish the electrical baseline. Measure voltage, current, kW, kVA, kVAR, power factor, voltage and current distortion, imbalance, transients, and operating profiles.

Evaluate the VFD application. Confirm motor suitability, cable length, grounding, carrier frequency, filters or reactors, bypass requirements, and harmonic performance.

Identify system-level losses and constraints. Examine transformer loading, feeder current, thermal conditions, reactive power, voltage stability, and available capacity.

Implement complementary controls. Use appropriate drive-side and system-side mitigation rather than expecting one device to solve every condition.

Verify performance. Compare normalized before-and-after data and reconcile measured savings with utility demand and energy charges.

9. Conclusion

Variable frequency drives remain indispensable for efficient motor control. They help facilities run equipment at the speed required by the process, reduce mechanical stress, and avoid energy waste associated with unnecessary output. However, a VFD does not by itself optimize the electrical system, and its power-electronic switching can create or amplify conditions that require engineering attention.

MPTS is positioned as a complementary, system-level approach focused on the power feeding the entire network. By combining intelligent load control with real-time power-quality management, facilities can pursue energy savings while also addressing reliability, capacity, asset life, and lifecycle cost.

VFDs help equipment run smarter. MPTS helps the electrical system operate better.

Energy savings are valuable. Optimized electrical systems create lasting operational value.

References

- [1] IEEE Standards Association, IEEE Std 519-2022, IEEE Standard for Harmonic Control in Electric Power Systems, 2022.
- [2] National Electrical Manufacturers Association, ANSI/NEMA MG 1, Motors and Generators, 2024 ed., including guidance for motors supplied from adjustable-frequency controls.
- [3] National Electrical Manufacturers Association, NEMA MG 10011-2025, Power Index Calculation Procedure—Standard Rating Methodology for Motors, Power Drive Systems, and Complete Drive Modules, 2025.
- [4] U.S. Department of Energy, Improving Motor and Drive System Performance: A Sourcebook for Industry, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.
- [5] International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol, Core Concepts, Efficiency Valuation Organization, current edition.
- [6] M. H. J. Bollen, Understanding Power Quality Problems: Voltage Sags and Interruptions. New York, NY, USA: IEEE Press, 2000.
- [7] R. C. Dugan, M. F. McGranaghan, S. Santoso, and H. W. Beaty, Electrical Power Systems Quality, 3rd ed. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 2012.

Author Note

Adil Khan is CEO of Accentz, Inc., an engineering and technology solutions company headquartered in Colorado. His work focuses on power quality, energy management, electrical-system reliability, workforce development, and the application of measurement-based solutions for commercial, industrial, institutional, and government facilities.